

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,362	01/28/2004	Meng Pei Chen	4200SF	6553
7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Meng Pei Chen			SELLS, JAMES D	
P.O. Box 63-298			<u></u>	_
Taichung, 406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			1734	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
Office Action Summany	10/768,362	CHEN, MENG PEI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James Sells	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the provis	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	eptember 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the approximate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/768,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 9-11 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coninck et al (US Patent 6,328,842) in view of Bock (US Patent 4,927,479).

Coninck discloses a machine for welding hollow articles. As shown in Figs. 2 and 3A-I, plastic shells 21 and 22 are loaded into upper and lower molds or platens 24 and 27. Pistons 52 and 57 and vacuum suckers 51 and 56 hold and position the shells in the platens. Rams press the plastic shells against opposite sides of heating platen 54. The heating platen is then removed via holder 35 and the rams press the shells 21 and 22 to weld them together in the manner claimed by the applicant.

However, Coninck does not disclose the vacuum device as claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Bock.

Bock discloses a pressing apparatus. As shown in Figs. 3-9, the apparatus comprises upper and lower press plates 20 and 11. These plates 11 and 20 are provided with openings 14 and 16 connected to a vacuum pump (not shown). These vacuum openings facilitate holding and positioning of materials during pressing operations. For these reasons, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Art Unit: 1734

in the art to substitute the vacuum system disclosed by Bock for the vacuum suckers disclosed in the device of Coninck.

The applicant is reminded that the materials used are not germane to the patentability of an apparatus claim.

3. Claims 3-6, 8 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coninck in view of Bock as described above in paragraph 2 in further view of Wawrzyniak (US Patent 5,997,688).

Wawrzyniak discloses a blister-sealing device. The device includes shield 70 attached to the heating plate assembly 40 to cover the outer plate 68. This shield 70 may be a thin metallic layer that is heat-resistant (see col. 6, lines 5-13).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a heat shield, as taught by Wawrzyniak, in the device of Coninck in order to protect the operator and minimize heat loss. Further, it is the examiner's position that the adjusting system, smoke exhauster and lever assembly are within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the device of Coninck in order to facilitate welding of the materials.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 8-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

## Telephone/Fax

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
120.1 CENTER 1700